Commentary

Berkeley Women’s Law Journal: A Powerful Force at Twenty

Herma Hill Kay†

Anniversaries provide appropriate occasions to learn from our experiences by examining the past and planning for the future. We draw fresh inspiration from reflecting upon our hopes and dreams at the beginning of a mutual enterprise, and are able to create new goals based on those reflections. The Twentieth Anniversary of the founding of the Berkeley Women’s Law Journal is such an occasion, and I am delighted to share in the distillation of our memories and the articulation of our plans.

Reading the “Founders’ Roundtable” brought back a flood of memories, some joyful, others more painful. Thank you, Donna Ryu and Karen Schryver, for remembering my help in getting the Journal started. Of course, I didn’t do it alone. I went to Boalt’s Dean, Jesse Choper, and asked for his financial support. In his own wonderfully tolerant way, while approving my request, Jesse wryly remarked, “Just what the world needs: a new law journal!” At the time, Boalt students were publishing five law journals, probably a record among our peer schools. As it turned out, BWLJ added a significant element of diversity to that already rich mix.

Copyright © 2005, The Regents of the University of California.
†. Prof. Herma Hill Kay is the Barbara Nachtrieb Armstrong Professor of Law at Boalt Hall, University of California at Berkeley. Prof. Kay has served as the Berkeley Women’s Law Journal’s advisor since its founding.
1. In confirming my recollection of this conversation on February 17, 2005, Jesse pointed out that he had given the same answer to other journals making similar requests.
Twenty years later, the number of women’s law journals has grown sufficiently large to attract the attention of historians. The content of this new genre, however, is not yet uniformly established either as to which publication should be recognized as the first of its kind, or as to which journals should now be included in a definitive list. Several authors have recently offered lists of current journals. Richard Chused puts first The Women’s Rights Law Reporter, published commercially in 1971, and brought in house by students at Rutgers, Newark, Law School in 1972 with its second issue. Laura A. Rosenbury begins her list with the 1978 appearance of the Harvard Women’s Law Journal. I agree with Rosenbury that HWLJ should be counted as the nation’s first academic women’s law journal, since WRLR was initially conceived as a newsletter for practitioners who were litigating the early cases challenging sex discrimination in the courts and still maintains a substantial focus on litigation. Its historical importance and its continuous publication, however, should put it on the list.

The uncertainty over the content of the list relates to whether it should be confined to journals that focus exclusively on legal issues that affect women’s interests, or whether it should also include those with broader missions that encompass, but are not limited to, women’s issues. For example, Law & Inequality: A Journal of Theory and Practice, which was first published at the Minnesota Law School in 1983, included matters of concern to women and, indeed, devoted its first two issues to that subject. The founders chose, however, to focus more broadly on law and inequality because they “felt that existing legal literature did not sufficiently examine problems of inequality.” For that reason, I did not list it in 1995 as among BWLJ’s predecessors. Nor does Chused include L&I in his list, but Rosenbury does. Another close case is that of the Journal of Gender, Race & Justice, first published by Iowa law students in 1997, which aims to “explore how we are classified, stratified, ignored and singled out under the law because of our race, sex, gender, economic class, ability, sexual identity and the multitude of labels applied to us.” Both Chused and Rosenbury
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include it, as would I. I would exclude, however, as having a different and distinctive focus journals that center around the legal problems of sexuality or sexual minorities, such as Law and Sexuality: A Review of Lesbian and Gay Legal Issues, first published by Tulane law students in 1991. Chused includes Tulane in his list of schools publishing gender journals, but Rosenbury does not. Martha Minow, writing on the occasion of the twentieth anniversary of the Harvard Women's Law Journal, notes that the Journal had "more than a dozen sister journals at other law schools," and provides an illustrative list that includes Law and Sexuality as well as the newsletter of the ABA Section on Women in Legal Education and the magazine published by the National Association of Women Lawyers.

These differences in classification naturally lead to differences in the count of the total number of women's law journals given by the various authors. In 2003, Chused named 20 gender journals currently being published, while Rosenbury's list had only 18. I counted 15 such journals in 1995, including one that is no longer published. No new journals of this kind have appeared since The Georgetown Journal of Gender and Law began publication in 1999. One edited by law students at Ohio Northern University appeared in 1997, but
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ceased publication in 1998 after two volumes. Guided by these considerations, my own list of current women's law journals begins with the *Harvard Women's Law Journal* and totals 18. *BWLJ*'s Founders have every reason to be proud of their pivotal role in furthering the development of this new kind of academic publication.

The more painful part of the Founders’ story is the realization of how isolated these talented and creative women felt themselves to be as law students. In unambiguous terms, they describe how the *Journal* became their refuge from an atmosphere that was intellectually inhospitable, even alienating, for women. Karen Schryver’s vision of creating *BWLJ* as an alternative source of information about underrepresented women within the law school itself and making it available throughout legal academia was both an empowering stroke of retaliation against marginalization and a beacon of hope for women law students everywhere. Ann Lucas, who worked on Volumes 4 and 5 and was Editor-in-Chief (EIC) of Volume 6, provides the political context of the struggle for faculty diversity at Boalt Hall that helped to sustain the determination of many *BWLJ* members not only to create a new feminist journal, but to transform the law school and even legal education itself. Elizabeth A. Landsberg, EIC of Volume 13, describes Boalt’s turmoil over the crisis precipitated by the 1995 U.C. Regents’ Resolution and extended statewide by voter adoption of Proposition 209 in 1997 eliminating voluntary affirmative action for the University and the State of California. Both subjects, in turn, were featured in *BWLJ*’s pages.

*BWLJ*’s mission was unique at the time it was announced, and still remains distinctive: “to give voice to the complex and varying perspectives, reflecting the legal concerns of all women, especially the women of color, lesbians, disabled women, and poor women whose voices have been severely underrepresented in


existing literature."

In my Commentary for BWLJ’s 10th Anniversary, I noted how difficult it had been for the early editorial boards to achieve and sustain that mission. In this issue, Barbara Flagg, the Fall 1986 Executive Editor of Volume 2, congratulates the members of Volume 6 for having put the Journal’s mandate into practice by giving it priority both in soliciting articles that fulfilled the mandate and working with the authors to ensure that each piece gave attention to relevant differences among groups of women. The editor-in-chief of Volume 6, Ann Lucas, makes clear in her own remarkable contribution to this anniversary issue the personal commitment that underlay that rededication and recalls the profound impact that her work with the Journal and its authors had on her political and intellectual identity.

The substantive articles included in this anniversary issue are ample proof that the Journal’s editors continue to honor and refine its mission. Alison Beck, EIC of Volume 15, analyzes the hugely controversial same-sex marriage debate from the perspective of a lesbian activist who married her partner in San Francisco two days before Valentine’s Day in 2004, only to have the union declared void exactly six months later. Catherine Albiston, Co-EIC of Volume 10, magnificently demonstrates the Journal’s anti-essentialist philosophy by focusing on social policies that address two work and family issues — family leave and media treatment of working women who “opt out” of employment to stay home and care for their children — to reveal how both accounts obscure the interests of less privileged women, including women of color and low-wage women.

Cassie Springer-Sullivan, EIC of Volume 17, offers an advocate’s trenchant account of the situation of those who suffer from fibromyalgia, a painful condition without identifiable cause affecting the muscles and connective tissue that primarily affects women, comparing it to earlier accounts of “female hysteria.” Taken as a group, these articles give voice to the legal concerns of lesbians, poor women, disabled women, and, implicitly, of women of color.

A recurrent theme found in anniversary issues of the earliest women’s law journals, in inaugural issues of later ones, and recently in a special
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symposium issue of another is to question the continued need for such journals. Speaking to this question, Catherine Albistin situates BWLJ within feminist legal scholarship and connects her answer to the Journal's mission. She observes that "BWLJ is organized around the idea that even innovative and revolutionary feminist legal scholarship tends to make implicit assumptions that obscure the race, sexual orientation, class and disability status of women." I agree with her that so long as the editors of BWLJ continue to seek out and publish work that remains true to that organizing principle, the Journal will fulfill an unmet need, and will remain a powerful force for change.

Today, Boalt students produce eleven journals, including one that is published electronically only. The energy and creativity of the student body that has given voice to such a wealth of scholarly inquiry is one of the most significant and enduring characteristics of this law school. At twenty, BWLJ has proven itself an outstanding member of that company. It is only fitting that, as five of its companion journals at Boalt have done, BWLJ has changed its name, effective with this issue, to reflect its evolving image. Henceforth, it will be known as Berkeley Journal of Gender, Law & Justice. Volume 20 EIC, Stephanie L. Schmid, gives an eloquent account of the "self-assessment, self-critique, and self-reflection" that led the membership to emphasize its "commitment to scholarship that is intersectional in both approach and analysis." BWLJ has come of age during its first twenty years, and has claimed a distinctive place for itself among feminist law journals. Long may it flourish as BGLJ.

36. See Albiston, supra note 31, at 36.
39. See supra notes 2 and 37.
40. See Schmid, supra note 22, at 7, 9.