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ABSTRACT

In Reimagining Equality: Stories of Gender, Race, and Finding Home, author Anita Hill explores some of the literal and figurative meanings of "home," focusing specifically on African-American women in their quest for home. Hill layers discussions of law, literature, and culture with stories of individual women, both historic and contemporary. In Reimagining Equality, Hill takes on a topic clearly distinct from the Clarence Thomas Senate confirmation hearings, the episode for which she is best known. Her work here is, nonetheless, evocative of her struggle in those hearings, because the book addresses the interrelation between gender, race, place, space, and power. Taking up the baton of spatiality, Reimagining Equality brings to "represented space," or central focus, a topic that is often in the "space-off," or margin: black women’s struggles to find home.

I. INTRODUCTION

Professor Anita Hill’s Reimagining Equality: Stories of Gender, Race, and Finding Home is a story of home. With vignettes of individuals, Hill examines home as a place as well as a state of being by interweaving discussions of law, literature, and culture. Hill’s specific focus is on women and African Americans in their quest for home.

Many readers will remember Anita Hill from her testimony during the 1991 Clarence Thomas Senate confirmation hearings.¹ That testimony both engendered and gendered a national conversation on sexual harassment in the workplace and demonstrated how such harassment had the potential to oppress and demean even relatively privileged women.² Hill’s testimony also had the effect of exposing to broad public view a group of persons that many Americans

2. Geneva Smitherman, African American Women Speak Out on Anita Hill—Clarence Thomas 161 (1995) (discussing the impact of Hill’s claim that the earliest recorded sexual harassment cases were from women whose earnings and status placed them at “the bottom of the occupational hierarchy, not the top”).
did not know existed: Hill, Thomas, and many of the witnesses were members of
a little-known African-American intelligentsia with Ivy League credentials and
upscale jobs.\(^3\) Moreover, Hill’s testimony aired publicly and interracially a battle
that many blacks saw as a private, intraracial, and almost intrafamilial struggle
between a black woman and a black man.\(^4\) It was a conflict that many critics
believed should have remained far from the “represented space” of media glare
and within the raced and gendered “space-off” that is at home in the black
community.\(^5\) Hill’s choice to speak out and exit the “space-off” still resonates
with many people. Rosemary Bray describes how black women, “in our efforts
to make a place for ourselves and our families in America,” created a “paradigm
of sacrifice [and] convinced even ourselves that no sacrifice is too great to insure
what we view in a larger sense as the survival of the race. . . . There are those
that believe that the price of solidarity is silence.”\(^6\)

On the other hand, Carolyn Mitchell, in discussing the “space-off” in the
context of the confirmation hearings, writes that although Clarence Thomas’s
assertion—that Hill’s claims of sexual assault amounted to a “high-tech
lynching”—was “distorted and dishonest,” it was still true that Anita Hill figured
“as the accuser and we cannot ignore the implication of Clarence Thomas’s
words that Anita Hill, totally erased from ‘represented space’ . . . ‘cried rape’ in
accusing him of sexual harassment.”\(^7\)

Hill addresses some of these issues from the hearing directly and explicitly
in some of her earlier writings.\(^8\) In Reimagining Equality, Hill takes on a topic
that is distinct from the hearings. Nonetheless, her work here is in some ways
evocative of her struggles, for it considers the interrelation between gender, race,
place, space, and power. Hill has noted that part of the impetus for writing
Reimagining Equality was the many letters she received after the hearings, in
which people not only discussed Hill’s role in the hearings but also related Hill’s
plight to their own struggles with powerlessness in other contexts, most notably


\(^4\) Id.

\(^5\) TERESA DE LAURETIS, *Technologies of Gender: Essays on Theory, Film, and Fiction* 26 (1987). De Lauretis describes the “represented space” of gender as ideological
male-centered points of view made visible within a frame; represented space is contrasted
with “space-off,” spaces not visible within the frame that are only inferable from represented
spaces and sometimes even erased or contained in the represented space by cinematic rules


\(^7\) Carolyn A. Mitchell, *Choicelessness as Choice: The Conflation of Racism and Sexism*, in *Discovering Difference: Contemporary Essays in American Culture* 189, 194–95
(Christoph K. Lohmann ed., 1993).

in the context of home (p. 33).9 Spurred on by some of her correspondences, Hill offers a look at structures of home that are both internal and external, both personal and shared. In this regard, the book is more than a distant echo of Hill’s earlier writings discussing the confirmation hearings. Reimagining Equality allows us to see Hill’s role in the confirmation hearings as a sequela of a much larger human geographic search for home. Reimagining Equality is thus an effort to place the concerns of women and African Americans about home at the forefront of the country’s broader social, legal, and political struggles.

II. OVERVIEW

In the Introduction, Hill outlines her book, describing how millions of Americans of both genders and all races have been dispossessed by factors as varied as reckless financial behavior (both their own and that of other actors outside of their control), the decline of manufacturing industries, and natural disasters such as Hurricane Katrina (p. xi).10 Hill also evokes homeownership as the bedrock of the American Dream, a trope that figures heavily in some of the accounts offered in the book (p. xi).11 Home ownership, while expressing norms of the everyman, is also a means to feed vaguely aristocratic yearnings; as one writer observes, “[t]he discourse of home-ownership portrayed the new middle-class homeowner as the contemporary equivalent of, in the words of one


10. Hurricane Katrina sounds a particularly somber reminder of the spatial and racial dimensions of poverty and homelessness in the United States. See Patrick Sharkey, Survival and Death in New Orleans: An Empirical Look at the Human Impact of Katrina, 37 J. OF BLACK STUD., 482, 482 (2007). A category four storm that struck on August 29, 2005, it was one of the single worst assaults on housing in recent American history. Roger D. Congleton, The Story of Katrina: New Orleans and the Political Economy of Disaster, 127 PUB. CHOICE 5, 17 (2006). Eighty percent of New Orleans was flooded. Id. at 5. News reports of the housing disaster wrought by Katrina brought people who existed in the journalistic “space-off” into the “represented space” of the media. See, e.g., Shaila Dewan, Lives and Homes Strewn on an Abandoned Street, N.Y. TIMES, Sept. 1, 2005, at A1. Such reports “showed mainstream Americans, some of them dubious about the continued existence of racial discrimination and increasingly reluctant to address it, a vision of American apartheid in the new millennium. Cameras scanning the shelters, which had quickly deteriorated into little more than human warehouses, rarely showed a white face.” Lolita Buckner Inniss, A Domestic Right of Return?: Race, Rights, and Residency in New Orleans in the Aftermath of Hurricane Katrina, 27 B.C. THIRD WORLD L.J. 325, 326, 328 (2007). No storm since 1928 had caused so much damage in the United States. In addition to being a massive natural disaster, Hurricane Katrina was also a racial and economic juggernaut that left a swathe of recriminations as both government entities and private actors struggled to address the vast privations suffered by poor, mostly black storm victims. Id.; ELIOT KLEINBERG, BLACK CLOUD: THE GREAT FLORIDA HURRICANE OF 1928 xiv (2003).

11. DAVID COWAN, HOUSING LAW AND POLICY 30–31 (2011). Normalizing discourses of home ownership that promote the social, political, and economic benefits of home ownership has been common in the United States since at least the nineteenth century; the discourse of home ownership is one that is at once at the center of and permeating through the notion of the American Dream. See also KATHLEEN R. ARNOLD, HOMELESSNESS, CITIZENSHIP AND IDENTITY: THE UNCANNINESS OF LATE MODERNITY (2004).
influential proponent, ‘the landed barons of King John . . . the squirearchy and yeomanry of England.’”12

Hill notes that her focus on African-American women addresses an issue that has long been neglected in the United States: the role of black women as heads of household as well as their corresponding role as dominant forces in community building (p. xvi). Hill also remarks upon black women’s determination to build their lives, families and communities despite the often harsh public perceptions of them as black matriarchs (p. xii, xvi). Here, Hill cites the work of Daniel Patrick Moynihan and his iconic, indelible frame of the “matriarchal structure” of black homes as the source of domestic failure in the black community (p. xvii). Moynihan’s deployment of the term “matriarchy” in the context of black families lowered it almost to the level of epithet.13 Hill acknowledges that while some of Moynihan’s work predicting the escalation of decay of black neighborhoods was prescient, Moynihan’s remedy for black equality was flawed: he ignored the external social and economic conditions that shaped black people’s lives and relied on the existence of gender inequality within black homes (p. xvii).

Hill details her own story of home in Chapter One. She begins by comparing and contrasting her hometown, the home structure in which she grew up, to her first college experience at Oklahoma State University. Hill then reaches back in search of the home of her enslaved ancestors. The opening section of this chapter, entitled “The Luggage,” is especially evocative of what it means to leave home with the hopes and prayers of those left behind. Hill packs her belongings in luggage previously owned by the most educated woman in her town, the local schoolteacher (p. 1). There is an irony here, in that carrying luggage can signify a delimiting burden, or it can be the means of traveling forward to overcome burdens left behind.

After describing her first adult steps forward, Hill then turns to her family’s past, beginning with the last generation of slaves in her family in Ouachita County, Arkansas (p. 3–8). She tells the story of Mary (later Mollie) Elliott, a pregnant slave separated from her husband Sam and put up for sale in 1864 in the waning years of the Civil War (p. 5). Mary and her son William Henry (called Henry), living one hundred miles from their original site of enslavement, never again saw Sam (p. 5). Mary made a home where she found herself after slavery ended. Eventually she remarried and changed her name to Mollie (p. 7).

Chapter One goes on to tell the story of Henry and his wife Ida Crooks, and

---


13. “Black matriarch” has become a polysemous epithet that is often wielded with brutal force. Frequently deployed in discussions of the “overly strong” black mother who challenges male authority and especially white patriarchal authority, the black matriarch is often used as a cautionary tale for black and white women of what can go wrong when male power is challenged. PATRICIA HILL COLLINS, BLACK FEMINIST THOUGHT: KNOWLEDGE, CONSCIOUSNESS, AND THE POLITICS OF EMPOWERMENT 77 (2000).
considers how marriage helped them to gain social respectability and to make a home (p. 8–9). At the same time, marriage itself was a challenge for Ida. With marriage the came responsibility to care for not only the child she brought to the marriage, but for her new husband’s three children from a first marriage and for the thirteen additional children Henry and Ida had together (p. 9). Ida’s story is a reminder that home life for women, especially for black women during the immediate antebellum years, was anything but a scene of domestic bliss. With little ability to control births or conditions within the marriage and with even less ability to control social conditions and racial violence outside of the home, Ida and women like her faced long odds.

Henry and Ida and others like them sought to build a home against a backdrop of severe racial repression. Hill notes that in response, during the 1880s and 1890s, hundreds of black Arkansans joined the exodus to Liberia (p. 11–12). Many more made the move to Oklahoma after Henry and Ida’s own direct brush with racial intimidation and the threat of lynching (p. 21–22). Hill’s telling of her own family’s story of relocation during slavery, their fixity in the immediate locus of the slave site after slavery ended, their efforts to remain that were thwarted, and finally their relocation, are potent reminders about the general historic nature of black geographies. All too often, such stories are hidden and reshaped to obscure the ways in which black geographies differ from more traditional geographies. As Katherine McKittrick writes:

Prevailing geographic rules have a stake in the ghettoization of difference and/or the systemic concealment of physical locations that map this difference. . . . Thus the production of black spaces in the diaspora is tied to locations that were and are explicitly produced in conjunction with race, racism, captivity and economic profit. Traditional geographies did, and arguably still do, require black displacement, black placelessness, black labor, and a black population that submissively stays ‘in place.’

Chapter Two continues the story of Henry and Ida in Oklahoma, and of how they found belonging through family and church in a black enclave called Lone Tree (p. 27). Hill mentions the broader development of all-black townships in Oklahoma during the period after the Civil War and how the region held the promise of economic and social opportunity, home, and safety for the thousands of blacks who came there; there was even some discussion of Oklahoma becoming an all-black state (p. 26–28).


Henry and Ida were the parents of Hill’s mother, Erma Elliott, who was three years old when the family left Arkansas for Oklahoma (p. 29). Erma married Albert Hill, the author’s father, and had thirteen children, the thirteenth of which was Anita Hill (p. 30). Hill describes the close-knit family and town in which she was raised, and brings the reader back to Miss Young and the gift of luggage that sent Hill on her way to college (p. 31–32). Hill thus reminds us of her own privileges even as she sought a place to belong. By doing so Hill also implicitly reminds her readers of the privileges that many of us also share relative to women like Hill’s ancestors, even if facing grave difficulties. Hill thereby sets the scene for the rest of the book’s focus on what she calls “place” and “fixity” (p. 39).

It must be noted that while Hill explains why she reaches backward rather than forward to discuss the meaning of home in the context of her own early life, the reader is left wondering about Hill’s contemporary life, especially given what we know about her involvement in the Clarence Thomas Senate confirmation hearings in the 1990s. Hill mentions the hearings at various times in the narrative. However, she does not address the hearings head on or in depth, and by seemingly skipping over any in-depth discussion of the hearings as a direct source of her understanding of home, she changes the psychological and narrative texture of the place from which she writes. This is perhaps an attempt to frame the book around what she sees as the larger substance of her life. It is also perhaps an effort to push to the “space-off” what many readers still see as the defining and emblematic represented space of Hill’s life—the confirmation hearings.

In Chapter Three, Hill considers gender and race “at home in America” (p. 40). She begins by briefly retelling the story of Abigail Adams, wife of President John Adams, and her famous 1776 admonition to her husband to “Remember the Ladies” (p. 40). As Hill tells us, Abigail Adams held out the hope that women, particularly married women, would have protections under the Declaration of Independence (p. 41). Although Abigail Adams’s hopes did not come to fruition, Hill notes the significance of Adams’s articulation of women’s needs for legal protections and not just the protections afforded them by the men in their lives (p. 41–42). Hill also observes, however, what was and continues to be a significant experiential divide for women: Abigail Adams, as a wealthy white woman married to a powerful white man who was away from home frequently, enjoyed more privilege and freedom than many women of her time and certainly more than most black women (p. 41).

For less privileged women, Hill writes, home could be tantamount to a prison (p. 41). This was especially true for black women in the antebellum South, most of whom labored as slaves on farms or plantations. Hill also points out that, for such women, release from involuntary servitude was not always freedom (p. 41). Many women, such as Hill’s grandmother Mollie Elliott, lived their lives after emancipation in the shadow of their former owners, doing work similar to what they had done before slavery ended and facing similar potential
for racial and gender violence (p. 42).

In this chapter, Hill also profiles some black women who made noteworthy efforts to establish homes for themselves, their own families, and for others more broadly, but are less well remembered in popular legal or historical accounts. Hill writes, for example, of Biddy Mason, a slave who accompanied her owner from Mississippi to California along with her children and other slaves, arriving in 1851 after a three-year journey across the South, the plains, and the Southwestern states. Mason and the other slaves in the party worked for their owner until 1855 when they learned of his intention to return to Texas (p. 42). Mason filed suit, basing her claim on a California state law that granted freedom to persons who had entered as slaves and thereafter took up residence (p. 42). Mason was a midwife, a large-scale real estate owner and one of the founders of one of Los Angeles’s largest black churches, the First African Methodist Episcopal Church. 17

Hill also tells of Nannie Helen Burroughs, an educator who trained black women in domestic skills as well as in subjects such as bookkeeping, agriculture, Latin, and drama (p. 50–51). Burroughs encouraged black women’s economic self-sufficiency and political engagement (p. 50). Perhaps most tellingly, Burroughs conceived of home as dignified work places and the home arts as skilled labor (p. 51).

In Chapter Four, Hill discusses Hansberry v. Lee18 as a frame for understanding the black yearning for home in the midst of harsh private legal sanctions whose goal was to keep blacks out of white neighborhoods. Hansberry was a case brought by Carl Hansberry, the father of Lorraine Hansberry, the prize-winning playwright. In 1937, the Lees purchased a home in the Washington Park section of Chicago, then a mostly white neighborhood covered by racially restrictive covenants (p. 58). Real covenants barring blacks from owning or renting property in certain neighborhoods were a common device to maintain racial separation: Hill notes that all deeds in the Washington Park neighborhood contained various types of restrictions barring blacks (p. 58). 19

The Lees were harassed and their property vandalized before they finally sued (p. 58–59). Although they lost at earlier stages of the case, the Lees were finally vindicated in the United States Supreme Court when the Court held that an

16. Mason was fortunate indeed, as shortly after her case was decided the United States Supreme Court reached an opposite conclusion. Dred Scott v. Sandford, 60 U.S. 393 (1856).
19. See Allen R. Kamp, The History Behind Hansberry v. Lee, 20 U.C. DAVIS L. REV. 481 (1996) (noting that the racially restrictive covenants in Washington Park were the work of the Chicago Real Estate Board and were meant to serve as models for racial exclusion: the Real Estate Board sent organizers to Chicago neighborhoods to instruct them on how to draft such provisions); PRESTON H. SMITH, II, RACIAL DEMOCRACY AND THE BLACK METROPOLIS: HOUSING POLICY IN POSTWAR CHICAGO 23, 45–46 (2012) (noting how racially restrictive real covenants that barred blacks from buying or renting housing in white neighborhoods were a significant factor in the overcrowding of black neighborhoods).
earlier ruling that the covenant bound all owners was in error and reversed.20

Such racially restrictive covenants were permitted in substance until 1948, when the United States Supreme Court held in Shelley v. Kraemer21 that race-based restrictive covenants are, on their face, invalid under the Fourteenth Amendment. The Court held that while private parties are free to accede to such covenants, judicial enforcement of such covenants would constitute unlawful state action.22 Hansberry is, interestingly, often presented as a relatively minor case for students of property law, having been decided in what could be termed the procedural “space-off” of law and not in the “represented space” of substantive law as was Shelley.23 Hill’s narrative helps the reader to see how Lorraine Hansberry put her family’s story center stage when she loosely based her highly-regarded play, Raisin in the Sun, on her family’s struggle for home (p. 59). As Hill notes, while Raisin in the Sun ends on an optimistic note, the Lee family’s real life was not so rosy: the family patriarch, Carl Augustus Hansberry, was embittered by his struggles and died prematurely (p. 59–60).

In Chapters Five and Six, Hill switches gears to focus on the recent past, profiling the stories of two contemporary black women and their struggles for home. One woman, Marla Wyatt, made her home in Los Angeles in what was a racially mixed neighborhood of pleasant homes when she arrived in 1969 (p. 80). By the 1980s, gangs were beginning to infiltrate the neighborhood (p. 87). Wyatt, even through a divorce and financial strains, maintained the house, working to make it a haven from the ills outside (p. 85–89). Ultimately, Wyatt and her family were touched by violence when Wyatt’s son, Sam, was killed in an unexplained shooting (p. 91). Hill makes a somber observation about life in such areas that serves as a caution and as one of the broader themes of the book:

22. Id. at 23.
23. See David Marcus, The Past, Present, and Future of Trans-Substantivity in Federal Civil Procedure, 59 DEPAUL L. REV. 371, 384–85 (2010) (citing JEREMY BENTHAM, OF LAWS IN GENERAL 142 (H.L.A. Hart ed., 1970) (1782)). Describing procedural aspects of law as space-off and substantive aspects as represented space is particularly apt given their traditional treatment in legal domains. Procedural law is the body of legal rules that govern the process for determining the rights of parties. Substantive law refers to the body of rules that determine the rights and obligations of individuals and collective bodies. Lawyers and judges typically look to procedural aspects first in assessing legal matters not only because many procedural matters may provide an easier and faster disposition of a case than when it is determined on its substantive merits. Robert G. Bone, Making Effective Rules: The Need for Procedure Theory, 61 OKLA. L. REV. 319, 323–24 (2008). The distinction is an old one in Anglo-American jurisprudence, and is frequently credited to Jeremy Bentham. Albert Kocourek, Substance and Procedure, 10 FORDHAM L. REV. 157, 157 (1941); see also OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES, THE COMMON LAW 253 (1881) ("Whenever we trace a leading doctrine of substantive law far enough back, we are very likely to find some forgotten circumstance of procedure at its source."). But see Albert Kocourek, Substance and Procedure, 10 FORDHAM L. REV 157, 186 (1941) (noting that there are scholars who have maintained that while there may be applications of the distinction that raise questions of policy, there is a clear logical distinction and full conceptual clarity between “substance” and “procedure”).
“The idea that the safety of the family depends on individual homes we build today is a recipe for isolation and disappointment” (p. 93).

Hill then tells the story of Anjanette Booker, a woman who in 2008 held a subprime mortgage and who, having fallen behind on the payments, faced foreclosure on her Baltimore home (p. 96). After having refinanced a mortgage on the eve of a divorce, she learned that what she believed was a fixed rate mortgage was actually an adjustable rate loan that more than doubled after two years (p. 96). Hill places Booker’s story in the larger narrative of racial and gender discrimination in the lending market (p. 100–01). Hill also considers how Booker’s story, though it resulted from a number of forces beyond her control, is susceptible to interpretation as a story of personal failing or even fraud. Few people, Hill writes, take the time to distinguish between the two (p. 114–15). Booker’s story ended on an upbeat note as she was able to negotiate for a lower payment and marshal resources earned from a beauty salon business. But Hill cautions that Booker’s story should not be used as “a testament to rugged individualism” (p. 112). Nor, writes Hill, should Booker’s story be seen as “an example of how government should ‘get out of the way’ and let people take care of themselves” (p. 112). Booker’s story, while an “American Story,” is not a simplistic Horatio Alger story; such a reading, says Hill, ignores the role of race and gender in causing or exacerbating such problems (p. 112).

Chapters Seven and Eight bring the book to a close by highlighting how the housing crisis is undermining the centrality of home in the American Dream. Hill asserts that what should replace the physical notion of home is a broader and more symbolic sense of place and belonging (p. 140–41). Hill seems to suggest that this new narrative would be shaped and led by none other than President Barack Obama (p. 141). Obama’s life story, says Hill, illustrates the coming together of race, gender, and the finding of home (p. 143). The election of Barack Obama, the excitement that it generated, and the emotionally wrenching symbolism of a family of African ancestry making the White House its home are important reminders of just how far we have come in reconciling our past. That we still have far to go is evident in lingering questions about whether Obama is “one of us” from a national perspective.

Hill’s invocation of Barack Obama is not to suggest that the solution to black women’s housing problems is distinctly political. There is, however, a clearly felt need to redress deep social inequities that often run along lines dividing race and gender. The foreclosure crisis and its attendant ills often exacerbated racism and gender inequality. Forces well beyond them often misshaped the contemporary frames of “home” that occupy the thoughts of Hill’s protagonists. Hill concludes by putting forward a series of questions that, if answered, will help us to restore our faith in the United States as a place of promise (p. 167). Equality of opportunity—and of place—is a clear goal.
III. Human Geography and the Meaning of Home

It has been long understood that space and identity are frequently reciprocally produced. The spaces that people occupy shape identities and the spaces in turn are formed and reformed by the people who occupy them. Like many of these accounts, *Reimagining Equality* goes well beyond material concerns and addresses social structures, customs, and the ways in which these concerns shape ideologies. Ideologies are often sustained via material manifestations of space. The home is at the foundation of many such ideological claims, existing as it does as a physical and figurative sanctuary and nurturer, a powerful embodiment of autonomy and freedom. In *Reimagining Equality*, Hill examines some of the issues arising when disruptions to home life such as slavery, segregation, crime, drug addiction, illness caused by environmental toxins, predatory lending, and other harms occur. Frequently, these harms are exacerbated by racial, gender, and economic inequality and interfere with the imagined and real spaces of home.

Explorations of the role of home and its interplay with race and gender are not new. A number of scholars have discussed the ways in which home has historically been structured as an apolitical private sanctuary as well as a political public space. “Home” is a heavily charged word that calls forth a number of images. It also has wider meaning as the geographic space or place where one belongs: country, city, village, and community. Perhaps most instructive for Hill’s book, the home is often also construed as a “woman’s place,” her natural environment. Hill’s work, however, offers a fresh perspective on such notions, beginning as she does with her own personal story of home, then looking back to her family’s slave past and its aftermath, and

25. Henri Lefebvre, *The Production of Space* 44 (1991) (“What is an ideology without a space to which it refers, a space which it describes, whose vocabulary and kinks it makes use of, and whose code it embodies?”).
26. See, e.g., Ruth Feldstein, *Motherhood in Black and White: Race and Sex in American Liberalism*, 1930–1965 154 (2000) (describing how concerns with women’s oppression in the domestic sphere of home was a racial argument, given the ways that black women’s experiences in the workplace and at home varied so greatly from the experiences of white women).
27. Joan R. Gundersen, *To Be Useful to the World: Women in Revolutionary America*, 1740–1790 206 (2006) (describing how in the early days of the new American nation the job of women and mothers was to create good citizens by, among other things, carefully crafting an apolitical private refuge in the home that was to be used as the foundation of an expressly political public morality and patriotism).
finally offering stories of other women and their crises and conundrums, ideations and ideals of home.31

Exploring the work more metaphysically by taking up the baton of spatiality, Reimagining Equality considers some of the literal and figurative meanings of home. The book acknowledges and addresses the multiple spatial frames and claims of home. In doing so, Reimagining Equality, like an increasing number of works across academic disciplines, engages with human geography.32 The home is a prime example of a concept that is rife with concordant and contesting spatial metaphoric import. The home is, as Hill shows in her book, frequently the source of contentment and a place of perceived safety. It may also be in some instances a source of dismay as well as a place of actual physical danger. Whether home is “good” or “bad” depends upon how it is framed in a particular context and all too often such framing depends upon perceptions.

A number of recent developments in cognitive science suggest that the categories that people use to describe their perceptions of reality are, while widely shared, not necessarily “objective.” Such perceptions are, moreover, frequently processed via cognitive models called schemata by some scholars. Many schemata are often essentially geographic or spatial in nature. Some examples are “path,” “near versus far,” or “center versus periphery” (the latter schema being a key feature of the concepts of “space-off” and “represented space”).35 As landmark psychologist Ulric Neisser noted, “because we can see only what we know how to look for, it is these schemata (together with information actually available) that determine what will actually be perceived.” Likewise, University of Oregon philosopher, Mark Johnson, recognized the special importance of how schemata, and, in particular, the center-periphery

31. Other works have considered the racial, gender, and spatial implications of black women’s enslavement. See, e.g., McKittrick, supra note 14 (discussing the ways in which black women inhabit diasporic locations, both literal and conceptual, that are marked by the legacy of violence and slavery).

32. Human geography’s method of “spatialization” of what may appear to be non-spatial concepts extrapolates from geography, the field chiefly employed to query space and spatial relation; such use of spatialization is typically conveyed through the use of metaphor, and these metaphors are often premised on both physical and cultural experiences. GEORGE LAKOFF & MARK JOHNSON, METAPHORS WE LIVE BY 17–18 (1980). This is true even of interpersonal relationships. Consider phrases such as “we’re at a crossroads” or “we’ll just have to go our separate ways.” Id. at 44–45.


34. Id. at 32 (citing ULRIC NEISSER, COGNITION AND REALITY: PRINCIPLES AND IMPLICATIONS OF COGNITIVE PSYCHOLOGY 54 (1976): “A schema is that portion of the entire perceptual cycle which is internal to the perceiver, modifiable by experience, and somehow specific to what is being perceived. The schema accepts information as it becomes available at sensory surfaces and is changed by that information; it directs movements and exploratory activities that make more information available, by which it is further modified.”).

35. Mark & Frank, supra note 33, at 6 (citing MARK JOHNSON, THE BODY IN THE MIND 126 (1987)).

36. Id. at 32 (citing NEISSER, supra note 24, at 20).
schema, may alter perceptions by constraining “meaning, understanding, and rationality.” 37

Spatial schemata appear to be at the core of cognitive structure, and they often form the basis for organizing many domains. It is therefore not surprising that much contemporary work in human geography often crosses boundaries, addressing numerous dimensions in a broad swathe of subject areas. This is frequently evident not only in the material spatial concerns of disciplines such as land use or architecture, but also in the spatial metaphors deployed across disciplines to describe perceived placement, such as “public” and “private,” social “insiders” or “outsiders,” and “upper,” “middle,” or “lower” class. 38

Reimagining Equality, like a number of contemporary accounts in human geography, offers spatial engagement with identity and difference and, specifically, with racial and gender identity and difference. In its thematic address, it makes frequent use of spatial schemata. For example, Hill cites urban geographer Elvin Wiley’s description of how physical geographic distances caused by moves to the suburbs also result in racial, gender, economic, educational, and other disparities (p. 168). This “suburban bias” currently shows no sign of abating. There is, however, some hope of remediying these ills, which Hill expresses in what is perhaps her most potent overarching spatial metaphor of home: the need for an “inclusive democracy” (p. xxiv, 169). Near the end of the book, Hill writes

Americans are in need of a twenty-first century vision of our country—not a vision of movement but one of place; not one of tolerance but one of belonging; not just of rights but also of community—a community of equals. This new vision will lead to an inclusive democracy that stays alive and remains real for everyone.

(p. 168–69).

IV. CONCLUSION

As noted at the outset, Reimagining Equality evokes in numerous ways the author’s involvement in the Clarence Thomas Senate confirmation hearings. This evocation seems crucial to the work. One scholar has described how the schematic of the coincidence-counterfactual is a plot device that is structured around pivotal moments when characters’ life trajectories, or sometimes the paths of history, converge or diverge. 39 Drawing from this, it is interesting to

37. Id., supra note 33, at 6 (citing LAKOFF & JOHNSON, supra note 32, at 125).
39. HILARY P. DANNENBERG, COINCIDENCE AND COUNTERFACTUALITY: PLOTTING TIME AND SPACE IN NARRATIVE FICTION 1 (2008) (discussing how coincidence and counterfactuality are two plot patterns that can be traced in a variety of forms across the developmental history of narrative fiction; these devices allow authors to project characters across space and time).
recall the ways in which the lives of Anita Hill and Clarence Thomas, like figures in a novel, were instantiated by a series of convergences in the form of biographical coincidences. Both were from rural hometowns. Both came from humble, values-driven black families. Both excelled at early schooling that ultimately led to their being admitted to Yale Law School. These coincidences culminated in one of American politics’ most memorable and visible divergences: Hill’s claims of sexual harassment and Thomas’s denial.

This divergence is a potent source of counterfactuals. What if Hill had not testified about her story of sexual harassment? What if Thomas had not denied Hill’s claim? What if Thomas had not been confirmed? *Reimagining Equality* is very much a book about home, but it also serves as an extended counterfactual that is both self-focused and external. It asks and answers the question: should Hill have offered her Senate testimony? The answer seems to be yes, if the reader deems Hill’s notoriety and the subsequent attention given to her by public entities, the press, and private individuals to be the source of positive change. Many private individuals seem to have viewed Hill’s dilemma as a symbol of dilemmas in their own lives. Hill, in becoming aware of what she symbolized, has been able to further amplify the concerns of others about home in all of its forms through her writings, speaking engagements, and other work.

Perhaps one of the most important aspects of Hill’s book is that it directly engages with the plight of black women in the context of housing. Many human geographic accounts of inequality seek to generalize about the plight of people of racial minority status or focus on class as the principal source of oppression. While it is true that many of the ills facing contemporary black women are shared by members of other racial minority groups and by others in the same economic class, black women face separate burdens. This is the essence of what has been termed the “black woman question.” Some may be skeptical of what may seem to be a journalistic rather than an academic approach to discussing the lives of women such as Marla Wyatt and Anjanette Booker. All too often, “journalistic” is used pejoratively, reflecting a dissonance between journalism and journalistic styles and the academic world and scholarly styles. However, what is frequently ignored in such usages is the way that journalistic writing is characterized by directness, honesty, and clarity.

The women about whom Hill writes play key roles in what is a decidedly academic and scholarly endeavor. By directly engaging with these women and telling their stories, Hill avoids the failings of many such accounts that sometimes construe people as objects to be discussed or as problems to be solved

---


rather than seeking to directly engage with people’s lives. Hill, however, does more than move the women she discusses from the space-off to the represented space of scholarly endeavor. As one scholar notes in the context of race and gender-focused social geography, the issue is not about inclusion in or expansion of the circle of what constitutes the scholarly. The larger issue is eliminating the boundary that marks such endeavors and thereby creates the division between scholarly “represented space” and “space-off.”

*Lolita Buckner Inniss*
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44. *Id.*