I want to start by talking a little bit about myself, because I know one question people often have is how I got involved with the union movement. While I’m not sure at what point I decided to go into union organizing, I certainly can look back and see times in my life that led me to become a union organizer.

I come from a family of eleven children. I’m number seven on the totem pole of kids. I graduated—I won’t say what year—but when I graduated from high school, I was going to be the second to go to college. Unfortunately, my older brothers and sisters had to drop out of high school to help support the family. My parents needed help in supporting the rest of us, and so they made that sacrifice. Just two months before my graduation, my brother who was the first to go to college died in an accident. So my parents placed all their hopes on me to college and make it.

I remember the day I left. I’m not sure if everybody has this experience, but in Mexican families it’s very common that if you’re going away, they get a little cardboard box and pack a lunch of tortillas and burritos and different things, just to get you through the next few days. Then my father said to me: “I’m really sorry.” I couldn’t figure it out—I said, “What do you mean, you’re really sorry?” He said, “I’m really sorry that I could not have provided more for you. I’m really sorry that I couldn’t have made more money in my work to be able to send you through college so that you wouldn’t have to go through all the struggles of getting scholarships and financial aid and everything else.” I started thinking, how could it be that my father, who worked from sun-up to sun-down six days a week, working in the fields of this country—how is it that he ends up feeling this way? It seemed so wrong.

My father is a very deeply religious man. Both of my parents were very faithful to the Catholic Church. Part of the reason they are so faithful is that it was quite common that, as migrant workers, we could not make enough money to pay for
shelter and enough food, even though we were all working. Many times we lived in
the grower’s barn, where the tractors were kept, or we lived out of our flatbed truck
or just camped out somewhere near a river. At this point we were nine or ten kids
and two parents working all day, six days a week, and we could not earn enough
money for shelter. So we had to go by the local church, in whatever town that we
happened to be in at the time—Stockton, Sacramento, Fresno, Calexico—to ask for
help. Probably the most shining example of how we, as migrant farm workers, were
welcomed by the church is that when my infant brother died, the local church raised
the money to give him a real burial. These are all memories that many of us have
and certainly have a lot to do with what we decide to do in our later lives.

I was the first in my family to graduate from college, from St. Mary’s
College of California, which is not far from here. In fact, that was where I had my
first direct organizing experience. We fought for Chicano classes, tutoring, and
recruitment. We took over the chapel of St. Mary’s and held a fast there, so that all
the people from wealthy areas who were headed to church had to see us up on the
altar with our sleeping bags because we had demands before the administration.
That was the first place that I began organizing.

More importantly, we worked as students in support of immigrant workers.
We marched to stop community and workplace deportations and also for amnesty.
Amnesty seemed so completely outrageous and so left, that even the left thought we
were insane for making that demand. And low and behold, that’s exactly what was
won several years later.

I thought I wanted to be a lawyer. I got my J.D. from People’s College of
Law, but instead of starting a career as a lawyer, I became a union organizer. For
me, there is nothing more extraordinary than being a part of men and women
learning to take charge of their lives. There is nothing more important than being a
part of the transformation from being very hardworking men and women who feel
powerless against injustice to being hardworking men and women who feel dignity
and fight for their rights. There’s nothing more challenging than confronting the
corporate system that controls so much in our lives.

Although my legal training has been very valuable in the organizing work
that I have done, I still felt that union organizing was what I could do best. Unions
also need lawyers who are extremely committed, creative, and doing cutting-edge
work, because without legal strategies we can’t win.

Although I’ve learned from Cesar Chavez and from Dolores Huerta, I’ve
also learned from Victoria Vergara, a housekeeper, and from Edgar Bonilla, a cook.
These are two hotel workers whose names you’ve never heard. They are immigrants
from Mexico and El Salvador. As Cesar taught, each of them has been a common
person doing uncommon things. Each has shown extraordinary courage, taking risks
that sometimes have taken them into more poverty. They’ve given up time with their
families, moved by the dignity of their struggle. They’ve made enormous sacrifices
and overcome great odds.

They sometimes are alone, because union leaders are sometimes alone in
the workplace. They sometimes are abandoned because fear of retaliation
overwhelms their coworkers and their comrades. But they never give up because
they envision a community of peace and justice and respect for their work.

So why are immigrants organizing the union movement? There are some
real statistics out there that show why. According to the federal poverty level, only
13% of Californians live in poverty, but that is based on methodology developed in the 1960's that was derived from a 1955 survey. By other accounts, nearly one-quarter of Californians live in poverty. When the costs for clothing, food, and housing are taken account, many working families cannot properly raise a family the way that they should be able to in one of the wealthiest nations in the world.

We're facing the erosion of jobs that pay middle-class wages. The majority of jobs in the economy today are in the service sector. That's where the growth is. Many of these jobs must be done close to the customer. Housekeepers, nursing home aides, cooks, laundry workers—they cannot be easily outsourced overseas, so it is our responsibility to make these jobs good jobs. That is who the middle class should be and will be.

At one time, manufacturing jobs were the unionized jobs. There is no inherent economic logic that required semi-skilled factory workers to earn middle-class wages. What made the difference was strong unions and federal enforcement of the right to organize a union. Immigrants are the key to turning service jobs into good, middle-class jobs. Immigrants did it before in other industries, and they're doing it now.

But what are they up against on the corporate side? We hear the words "globalization," "mergers," and "acquisition" all of the time. All of these, to me, just add up to one thing: consolidation of wealth and monopoly in these industries. That is what is making it so much harder to fight these corporations. It is said that if we
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were an independent nation, Wal-Mart would be China's eighth largest trading partner. Wal-Mart has a greater reach and influence than any corporation in history throughout the world. U.S. multinationals are acting as sovereign powers.

For example, on a local scale, Wal-Mart in Inglewood, California, didn't like the city government's decision not to welcome their big box plans, so instead, using their own money, they chose to make an end run with a ballot initiative. This was a direct challenge to the elected officials of Inglewood. Luckily, in that one case, the voters upheld the right to tell Wal-Mart that the community expects a whole lot more than what Wal-Mart was offering. We demand good union standards and respect for the community's needs.

Currently, there is a similar fight with hotel corporations. Four giant hotel chains in the U.S. market—and actually around the world—are found in every major city: the Hyatts, the Hiltons, the Marriotts, and the Starwoods—better known as Sheratons and Westins. The hospitality and tourism industries are growing enormously, and one of the things that it these industries offer is that they generate revenue through an occupancy tax that is used for public safety and roads. That is one of the reasons that hotels are welcomed into communities.

What happens when a city has a problem with the way these companies treat workers? Well, the companies ignore and resist the political will of that city. You have this situation occurring right across the bay, where the mayor of San Francisco experienced the same kind of treatment that hotel workers in San Francisco have been receiving: arrogance and brute power. Can San Francisco or Los Angeles take on these companies as isolated cities? No way. Many times, the hotel corporations are willing to take losses in San Francisco and Los Angeles, no matter the impact on the city's economy, because their corporations are doing business as usual everywhere else.

Workers are confronting a new reality: hotel chains have consolidated. Hotel workers traditionally negotiated city by city. Thus, hotels have gained more and more bargaining power over workers, because workers are separated into local unions. In response, workers are also consolidating. We are organizing to bargain with global hotel corporations in many cities at the same time. We are doing something that city governments themselves cannot do. We are going to do

something that is going to benefit our cities and our communities. We, hotel
workers, are in the fight of our lives against the Wal-Martization of service jobs.\textsuperscript{13}

In the past, unionized hotel workers have been successful in fighting back, but only in isolated cities. In San Francisco, for example, we have been highly successful. This, however, has not been the uniform result across the country.

This year, the organizing struggles of hotel workers in San Francisco, Los Angeles, and Washington, D.C., brought to light the attitude of national and multinational hotel operators. Hotel companies are determined to follow the road of Wal-Mart and other companies to turn back the clock on the free family health insurance, on decent wages, and other benefits. Wal-Martization is the new standard that Marriott, Hyatt, Hilton, and Starwood want for their hotel workers.

Our strategy is to consolidate on the side of the workers by lining up as many contracts as possible to expire in the same year. That way we can battle the contracts at the same time. Next year, in 2006, contracts will expire in New York City, Boston, Chicago, Hawaii, Toronto, Canada, and cities in California. Somewhere around 50,000 hotel workers in 400 hotels will be fighting for their rights.

Hotel companies are trying everything in their power to stop hotel workers from uniting, from consolidating strength. But it is too late. They are trying to inflict as much suffering as possible on the hotel workers today to prevent their consolidation. Hotel owners think that repressive, and many times illegal, tactics are going to stop hotel workers. But they will not. For example, they locked out thousands of hotel workers in San Francisco.\textsuperscript{14} It didn’t work.

Hotel companies know that hotel workers will gain a more even playing field when they reach the goal of organizing hundreds of thousands of hotel workers who are non-union today. That is why we are consolidating our organizing campaigns: to spread and raise employment standards for hotel and service workers.

Now, hotel workers are immigrants from Latin America, China, the Philippines, Thailand, and from many other parts of the world. We are fighting for immigrant rights in the workplace. For example, in our contracts we mandate that employers can’t use Social Security no-match letters to intimidate workers.\textsuperscript{15} We forbid employers from re-verifying immigration status when the name of the company changes or the operator changes. We demand leave of absence policies that allow immigrants to return with full seniority and wages after taking care of an immigration issue. We have a legal plan for free, unlimited use of attorneys to defend and represent immigrants in their cases. Unionized immigrant workers can win rights in contracts that we have not yet won from the government through congressional reform. That is the strength of having a union contract.

Through organizing, immigrants are learning that we can not and should not
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be pitted against each other due to nationality, ethnicity, or race. That is why our union, along with other international unions like the United Food and Commercial Workers (UFCW), the Service Employees International Union (SEIU), and the rest of the labor movement sponsored the Immigrant Workers Freedom Rides—to build solidarity.\(^\text{16}\)

That is why in our hotel negotiations we are demanding a proactive hiring policy to increase the number of African-American workers in hotels. An injury to one is an injury to all; when we hate discrimination against one, we hate discrimination against everyone. Through the union, immigrant workers are organizing to make hotel service jobs good jobs that all members of our community have a chance to fill.

Throughout the Freedom Ride many union leaders had to confront anti-immigrant attitudes within their own ranks. I am sure you have heard the jargon and stereotypes regarding immigrants taking jobs. It was quite impressive to see that union leaders were stepping up and taking the heat to defend the rights of immigrants.

Many union leaders have learned that immigrant workers are the new force that will strengthen the labor movement. Immigrants are becoming citizens and voting in areas of the country that we never imagined, like Georgia, North Carolina, and Arkansas. You name it, anywhere in this country, there are immigrants. They will become citizens and they will vote. They are a vital political force. That is why the labor movement supports legalization.

Now, the truth is that there are some unions that are slow to agree. There are some unions that are slow to take on that kind of leadership. But I think that any union that doesn’t believe in defending the rights of immigrants doesn’t believe in workers at all. So they have a problem. They have lost confidence in workers. Some union leaders have given up. Frankly, that is why there is a strong and important debate taking place right now in the labor movement. It’s a good debate, and I’m proud that it is taking place. It is nothing to be embarrassed about—to take leadership and say: “We have to change the direction of the labor movement.”

The labor movement has to change. It has to be more strategic. It has to devote more resources to organizing. We have to consolidate our resources, and we must have more effective political programs. But more than anything, we have to organize, organize, organize and believe in workers. We can turn this country back around.

Obviously, hotel workers and other workers can’t do this alone. To do this, workers are winning over many people who were sitting on the sidelines and watching. It has been done before with the strikes and boycotts of courageous workers, who moved good people that had never been active before. We continue to see it all the time.

The strike and the lockout of the San Francisco hotel workers won over the public’s support. Mayor Gavin Newsom was outraged and publicly supported the hotel workers.\(^\text{17}\) Also, a few days ago, clergy leaders marched in support of the
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largest organizing campaign of African American workers—honoring the support Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., gave in the sanitation workers' strike in Memphis. On Cinco de Mayo, Angelica Laundry workers are going to go out on strike. Some are striking because they can't get a fair contract; others are going to strike in support of the striking Angelica workers.

The boycotts and strikes led by Cesar Chavez and the farm workers in California, the J.P. Stevens textile workers, the mine workers, and the remarkable Justice for Janitors campaigns have been what we needed in this country to open our eyes and realize that we can organize, that we are organizing, and that we are building this movement.

We have to go on the offensive with a strong movement. A nonviolent movement of workers is what we need to stop the unjust war in Iraq. That has to happen, and it will happen when the workers' movement is stronger. A powerful movement of workers, clergy, and youth will stop the tax cuts for the rich and the increases in military spending and replace it with a real pro-life culture, not the one described by others who use this term in a cynical way. We will truly protect our environment. We will pass a budget that devotes resources to our children in higher education. That is what Cesar Chavez taught us. That is what Dolores Huerta is teaching us every day. That is what Edgar and Maria and many, many immigrants and workers throughout this country teach us every day. That is what they give their lives for. Remember them every morning when you wake up needing a reason to keep on walking, to keep on marching, to keep on picketing, and to keep on demanding justice.