On December 7, 1941, Japan attacked Pearl Harbor and fear gripped our nation. Within hours, Secret Service and FBI agents swept through Japanese American communities, arresting its leaders. Within weeks, these communities were subjected to race-based curfew orders. Within months, the wholesale rounding up of Americans was in full swing as they were herded into make-shift detention centers surrounded by barbed wire and machine gun towers while concentration camps were being constructed in the interior of the country. By the summer of 1942, 120,000 Americans of Japanese ancestry, 70,000 of whom were American citizens by birth, had been confined in ten concentration camps stretching from California to Arkansas. Still others were held in penitentiaries while their families wondered if they would ever see them again. These Americans had lost...
their property, their freedom, and for some, even their lives. The Internment was immensely popular, and with the full support of the news media, politicians built their careers by proclaiming, "The Japs must go!"

Fred Korematsu, a loyal American citizen, was arrested and jailed for refusing to obey the military orders. In 1944, the U.S. Supreme Court heard his case and ruled against him, denying that the Internment had been prompted by racism and instead calling the incarceration a military necessity.

Korematsu's case stood for almost 40 years until Professor Peter Irons, researching government archives, stumbled upon secret Justice Department documents. Among them were memos written in 1943 and 1944 by Edward Ennis, the Justice Department attorney responsible for supervising the drafting of the government's brief. As Ennis began searching for evidence to support the Army's claim that the Internment was necessary and justified, he found precisely the opposite—that J. Edgar Hoover of the FBI, the FCC, the Office of Naval Intelligence and
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other authoritative intelligence agencies(categorically denied that Japanese Americans had committed any wrong. Other memoranda characterized the government’s claims that Japanese Americans were spying as “intentional falsehoods.” These official reports were never presented to the Supreme Court, having been intentionally suppressed and, in one case, destroyed by setting the report afire. It was on this basis—governmental misconduct—that a legal team of pro bono attorneys successfully reopened Korematsu’s case in 1983, resulting in the erasure of his criminal conviction for defying the Internment. In a statement remarkable for its prescience, Judge Marilyn Hall Patel of the U.S. District Court of the Northern District of California wrote:

Korematsu remains on the pages of our legal and political history. As a legal precedent it is now recognized as having limited application. As a historical precedent it stands as a constant caution that in times of war or declared military necessity our institutions must be vigilant in protecting our constitutional guarantees. It stands as a caution that in times of distress the shield of military necessity and national security must not be used to protect governmental actions from close scrutiny and accountability. It stands as a caution that in times of international hostility and antagonisms our institutions, legislative, executive and judicial, must be prepared to protect all citizens from the petty fears and prejudices that are so easily aroused.

On September 11, 2001, terrorists attacked the World Trade Center, and fear once again gripped our nation. As noted by the historian Roger Daniels, in the months following this tragedy, we were told that more than 1,000 aliens, largely of Middle Eastern nationalities, have been locked...
up, and thousands more have been deported for overstaying their visas.\textsuperscript{22} We also read about the detention of others for questioning; about plans to bypass normal legal procedures and create military tribunals to try “any individual who is not a United States citizen;”\textsuperscript{23} about federal requests to colleges and universities for the names of all foreign students;\textsuperscript{24} about requiring public librarians to disclose identities of library patrons and the titles of books that they borrow;\textsuperscript{25} about citizens and aliens being forced to leave flights for which they had tickets merely because they “look like the enemy;”\textsuperscript{26} about loyal Filipino airport screeners losing their jobs because they are not citizens;\textsuperscript{27} and about Americans being taken away in the middle of the night without charges, without access to lawyers, and without their families knowing where they are being held or if and when they will be released.\textsuperscript{28} These actions have been taken in the name of “national security,” which sounds eerily like “military necessity.” This should give us pause to ask ourselves: where is this going to lead, particularly if more acts of terrorism occur, which in turn will generate an even greater public clamor to “do something.”

It bears remembering that when 120,000 Americans were taken away during World War II,\textsuperscript{29} their captors came with patriotic fervor, urged on by political leaders who launched their careers by demonizing Japanese Americans, upheld by a judiciary that had lost the courage to ask probing questions, and supported by a public whose fears and hatreds had been whipped up by a news media that had devolved into a \textit{de facto} information arm of the government. All of these things came to us wrapped in an American flag.

The words contained in the following pages remind us that our responsibilities are great, that there is much work to be done, and that there is much at stake if we remain silent.
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